

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 7, 2004

AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 7, 2004

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 12, 2004

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2003–04 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 3047

**Introduced by Committee on Transportation (Oropeza (Chair),
Berg, Chan, Chu, Houston, Kehoe, Liu, Longville, Nakano,
Parra, Pavley, and Salinas)**

March 1, 2004

An act to amend Sections 302, 470, 527, 30914, and 30914.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, and to amend Sections 5201, 5751.5, 9250.7, 12810, 22670, 22710, 22851.2, 22851.4, 22851.6, 22851.8, 22851.10, and 22852 of the Vehicle Code, relating to transportation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 3047, as amended, Committee on Transportation. Transportation.

(1) Existing law provides that the Department of Transportation shall have full possession and control of all state highways. Existing law describes the authorized routes in the state highway system and establishes a process for adoption of a traversable highway on an authorized route by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law authorizes the commission to relinquish certain state highway segments to local agencies.

This bill would authorize the commission to relinquish portions of State Highway Routes 2, 170, and 227 to specified local agencies under certain conditions.

(2) Existing law defines the Bay Area Toll Authority (BATA) as a separate agency governed by the same governing board as the Metropolitan Transportation Commission, which is the transportation planning agency for the 9-county Bay Area. Existing law requires BATA to administer the toll revenues derived from the voter-approved \$1 toll surcharge on Bay Area toll bridges that becomes operative on July 1, 2004, and specifies the projects to be funded from those revenues.

This bill would revise certain of the project descriptions and make other related changes.

(3) Existing law provides for the issuance of special identification license plates and distinguishing placards to persons with disabilities.

This bill would correct an erroneous cross-reference in this regard.

(4) Existing law provides that the Department of Motor Vehicles shall require upon initial registration, and upon transfer of ownership and registration, of any motor vehicle, and upon registration of a motor vehicle previously registered outside this state which is subject to those provisions, a valid certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance, as appropriate, in regard to smog certification.

Existing law also provides an exception to these provisions where the initial application for transfer is submitted within the 90-day validity period of a smog certificate, as specified.

This bill would revise the statement that is to appear on a form prescribed by the department regarding smog certification that is to be signed by the transferor and transferee upon transfer of any vehicle. The bill would also eliminate the provision that allows a transferee to rescind the sale of a vehicle upon learning that a transferor has committed perjury in stating that he or she has not modified the emissions system of the vehicle and does not have any personal knowledge of anyone else modifying the system that would cause the system to fail to qualify for the issuance of a smog certificate.

(5) Existing law authorizes a county to establish a service authority for the abatement of abandoned vehicles and impose a vehicle registration fee. Existing law requires each authority to report to the Controller certain information within specified timeframes.

This bill would eliminate certain reporting deadlines for an authority to submit information to the Controller. The bill would also require the Controller to make various allocations based on a fiscal year basis, instead of calendar year.



Existing law allows a service authority, established for the abatement of abandoned vehicles, to impose a service fee on all vehicles registered to an owner with an address in the county that established the service authority.

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those provisions.

(6) Existing law establishes a traffic violation point system for various purposes.

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes in the provision determining the violation point count for specified violations.

(7) Existing law provides procedures for the removal and disposal by a public agency of a vehicle valued at \$300 or less.

This bill would increase the specified value to \$500 or less.

To the extent that this increase would impose additional duties upon local public agencies, the bill would establish a state-mandated local program.

(8) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement, including the creation of a State Mandates Claims Fund to pay the costs of mandates that do not exceed \$1,000,000 statewide and other procedures for claims whose statewide costs exceed \$1,000,000.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 302 of the Streets and Highways Code
2 is amended to read:

3 302. (a) Route 2 is from:

4 (1) The point where Santa Monica Boulevard crosses the city
5 limits of Santa Monica at Centinela Avenue to Route 101 in Los
6 Angeles, except the relinquished portions described in subdivision

7 (b).



1 (2) Route 101 in Los Angeles to Route 210 in La
2 Canada-Flintridge via Glendale.

3 (3) Route 210 in La Canada-Flintridge to Route 138 via
4 Wrightwood.

5 (b) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the relinquished former
6 portions of Route 2 within the city limits of West Hollywood and
7 Santa Monica, and between Route 405 and Moreno Drive in Los
8 Angeles, are not a state highway and are not eligible for adoption
9 under Section 81. Those cities shall maintain signs within their
10 respective jurisdictions directing motorists to the continuation of
11 Route 2.

12 (c) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may
13 relinquish to the City of Beverly Hills the portion of Route 2 that
14 is located between the city's west city limit at Moreno Drive and
15 the city's east city limit at Doheny Drive, upon terms and
16 conditions the commission finds to be in the best interests of the
17 state.

18 (2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become
19 effective immediately following the county recorder's recordation
20 of the relinquishment resolution containing the commission's
21 approval of the terms and conditions of the relinquishment.

22 (3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both
23 of the following shall occur:

24 (A) The portion of Route 2 relinquished under this subdivision
25 shall cease to be a state highway.

26 (B) The portion of Route 2 relinquished under this subdivision
27 shall be ineligible for future adoption under Section 81.

28 (4) For the portions of Route 2 that are relinquished, the City
29 of Beverly Hills shall maintain within its jurisdiction signs
30 directing motorists to the continuation of Route 2.

31 (d) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may
32 relinquish to the City of Los Angeles the portion of Route 2 that
33 is located within the city limits of that city, upon terms and
34 conditions the commission finds to be in the best interests of the
35 state, including, but not limited to, a condition that the City of Los
36 Angeles maintain within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists
37 to the continuation of Route 2.

38 (2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become
39 effective immediately following the recording by the county
40 recorder of the relinquishment resolution containing the

1 commission's approval of the terms and conditions of the
2 relinquishment.

3 (3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both
4 of the following shall occur:

5 (A) The portion of Route 2 relinquished under this subdivision
6 shall cease to be a state highway.

7 (B) The portion of Route 2 relinquished under this subdivision
8 may not be considered for future adoption under Section 81.

9 (4) For the portions of Route 2 that are relinquished, the City
10 of Los Angeles shall maintain within its jurisdiction signs
11 directing motorists to the continuation of Route 2.

12 SEC. 2. Section 470 of the Streets and Highways Code is
13 amended to read:

14 470. (a) Route 170 is from:

15 (1) Los Angeles International Airport to Route 90.

16 (2) Route 2 to Route 101 in Los Angeles.

17 (3) Route 101 near Riverside Drive to Route 5 near Tujunga
18 Wash.

19 (b) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may
20 relinquish to the City of Los Angeles the portion of Route 170 that
21 is described in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), pursuant to the
22 terms of a cooperative agreement between the city and the
23 department, upon a determination by the commission that the
24 relinquishment is in the best interests of the state.

25 (2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become
26 effective immediately following the recordation by the county
27 recorder of the relinquishment resolution containing the
28 commission's approval of the terms and conditions of the
29 relinquishment.

30 (3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both
31 of the following shall apply:

32 (A) The portion of Route 170 relinquished under this
33 subdivision shall cease to be a state highway.

34 (B) The portion of Route 170 relinquished under this
35 subdivision may not be considered for future adoption under
36 Section 81.

37 SEC. 3. Section 527 of the Streets and Highways Code is
38 amended to read:

39 527. (a) Route 227 is from Route 1 south of Oceano to Route
40 101 in San Luis Obispo.

(b) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may relinquish to the City of Arroyo Grande the portion of Route 227 that is located within the city limits of that city, upon terms and conditions the commission finds to be in the best interests of the state, including, but not limited to, a condition that the City of Arroyo Grande maintain within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists to the continuation of Route 227.

(2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become effective immediately following the recording by the county recorder of the relinquishment resolution containing the commission's approval of the terms and conditions of the relinquishment.

(3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both of the following shall occur:

(A) The portion of Route 227 relinquished under this subdivision shall cease to be a state highway.

(B) The portion of Route 227 relinquished under this subdivision may not be considered for future adoption under Section 81.

(c) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may relinquish to the City of San Luis Obispo the portion of Route 227 that is located within the city limits of that city, upon terms and conditions the commission finds to be in the best interests of the state, including, but not limited to, a condition that the City of San Luis Obispo maintain within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists to the continuation of Route 227.

(2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become effective immediately following the recording by the county recorder of the relinquishment resolution containing the commission's approval of the terms and conditions of the relinquishment.

(3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both of the following shall occur:

(A) The portion of Route 227 relinquished under this subdivision shall cease to be a state highway.

(B) The portion of Route 227 relinquished under this subdivision may not be considered for future adoption under Section 81.

(4) For the portions of Route 227 that relinquished, the City of San Luis Obispo shall maintain within its jurisdiction signs directing motorists to the continuation of Route 227.

SEC. 4. Section 30914 of the Streets and Highways Code is amended to read:

30914. (a) In addition to any other authorized expenditures of toll bridge revenues, the following major projects may be funded from toll revenues of all bridges:

(1) Dumbarton Bridge: Improvement of the western approaches from Route 101 if affected local governments are involved in the planning.

(2) San Mateo-Hayward Bridge and approaches: Widening of the bridge to six lanes, construction of rail transit capital improvements on the bridge structure, and improvements to the Route 92/Route 880 interchange.

(3) Construction of West Grand connector or an alternate project designed to provide comparable benefit by reducing vehicular traffic congestion on the eastern approaches to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge. Affected local governments shall be involved in the planning.

(4) Not less than 90 percent of the revenues determined by the authority as derived from the toll increase approved in 1988 for class I vehicles on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge authorized by Section 30917 shall be used exclusively for rail transit capital improvements designed to reduce vehicular traffic congestion on that bridge. This amount shall be calculated as 21 percent of the revenue generated each year by the collection of the base toll at the level established by the 1988 increase on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

(b) Notwithstanding any funding request for the transbay bus terminal pursuant to Section 31015, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall allocate toll bridge revenues in an annual amount not to exceed three million dollars (\$3,000,000), plus a 3.5-percent annual increase, to the department or to the Transbay Joint Powers Authority after the department transfers the title of the Transbay Terminal Building to that entity, for operation and maintenance expenditures. This allocation shall be payable from funds transferred by the Bay Area Toll Authority. This transfer of funds is subordinate to any obligations of the authority, now or hereafter existing, having a statutory or first priority lien

1 against the toll bridge revenues. The first annual 3.5 percent
2 increase shall be made on July 1, 2004. The transfer is further
3 subject to annual certification by the department or the Transbay
4 Joint Powers Authority that the total Transbay Terminal Building
5 operating revenue is insufficient to pay the cost of operation and
6 maintenance without the requested funding.

7 (c) If the voters approve a toll increase in 2004 pursuant to
8 Section 30921, the authority shall, consistent with the provisions
9 of subdivisions (d) and (f), fund the projects described in this
10 subdivision and in subdivision (d) that shall collectively be known
11 as the Regional Traffic Relief Plan by bonding or transfers to the
12 Metropolitan Transportation Commission. These projects have
13 been determined to reduce congestion or to make improvements
14 to travel in the toll bridge corridors, from toll revenues of all
15 bridges:

16 (1) BART/MUNI Connection at Embarcadero and Civic
17 Center Stations. Provide direct access from the BART platform to
18 the MUNI platform at the above stations and equip new fare gates
19 that are TransLink ready. Three million dollars (\$3,000,000). The
20 project sponsor is BART.

21 (2) MUNI Metro Third Street Light Rail Line. Provide funding
22 for the surface and light rail transit and maintenance facility to
23 support MUNI Metro Third Street Light Rail service connecting
24 to Caltrain stations and the E-Line waterfront line. Thirty million
25 dollars (\$30,000,000). The project sponsor is MUNI.

26 (3) MUNI Waterfront Historic Streetcar Expansion. Provide
27 funding to rehabilitate historic street cars and construct trackage
28 and terminal facilities to support service from the Caltrain
29 Terminal, the Transbay Terminal, and the Ferry Building, and
30 connecting the Fisherman's Wharf and northern waterfront. Ten
31 million dollars (\$10,000,000). The project sponsor is MUNI.

32 (4) East to West Bay Commuter Rail Service over the
33 Dumbarton Rail Bridge. Provide funding for the necessary track
34 and station improvements and rolling stock to interconnect the
35 BART and Capitol Corridor at Union City with Caltrain service
36 over the Dumbarton Rail Bridge, and interconnect and provide
37 track improvements for the ACE line with the same Caltrain
38 service at Centerville. Provide a new station at Sun Microsystems
39 in Menlo Park. One hundred thirty-five million dollars
40 (\$135,000,000). The project is jointly sponsored by the San Mateo

County Transportation Authority, Capitol Corridor, the Alameda County Congestion Management Agency, and the Alameda County Transportation Improvement Authority.

(5) Vallejo Station. Construct intermodal transportation hub for bus and ferry service, including parking structure, at site of Vallejo's current ferry terminal. Twenty-eight million dollars (\$28,000,000). The project sponsor is the City of Vallejo.

(6) Solano County Express Bus Intermodal Facilities. Provide competitive grant fund source, to be administered by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission. Eligible projects are Curtola Park and Ride, Benicia Intermodal Facility, Fairfield Transportation Center and Vacaville Intermodal Station. Priority to be given to projects that are fully funded, ready for construction, and serving transit service that operates primarily on existing or fully funded high-occupancy vehicle lanes. Twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000). The project sponsor is Solano Transportation Authority.

(7) Solano County Corridor Improvements near Interstate 80/Interstate 680 Interchange. Provide funding for improved mobility in corridor based on recommendations of joint study conducted by the Department of Transportation and the Solano Transportation Authority. Cost-effective transit infrastructure investment or service identified in the study shall be considered a high priority. One hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000). The project sponsor is Solano Transportation Authority.

(8) Interstate 80: Eastbound High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Lane Extension from Route 4 to Carquinez Bridge. Construct HOV-lane extension. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000). The project sponsor is the Department of Transportation.

(9) Richmond Parkway Transit Center. Construct parking structure and associated improvements to expand bus capacity. Sixteen million dollars (\$16,000,000). The project sponsor is Alameda-Contra Costa Transit District, in coordination with West Contra Costa Transportation Advisory Committee, Western Contra Costa Transit Authority, City of Richmond, and the Department of Transportation.

(10) Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit District (SMART) Extension to Larkspur or San Quentin. Extend rail line from San Rafael to a ferry terminal at Larkspur or San Quentin. Thirty-five million dollars (\$35,000,000). Up to five million dollars

1 (\$5,000,000) may be used to study, in collaboration with the Water
2 Transit Authority, the potential use of San Quentin property as an
3 intermodal water transit terminal. The project sponsor is SMART.

4 (11) Greenbrae Interchange/Larkspur Ferry Access
5 Improvements. Provide enhanced regional and local access
6 around the Greenbrae Interchange to reduce traffic congestion and
7 provide multimodal access to the Richmond-San Rafael Bridge
8 and Larkspur Ferry Terminal by constructing a new full service
9 diamond interchange at Wornum Drive south of the Greenbrae
10 Interchange, extending a multiuse pathway from the new
11 interchange at Wornum Drive to East Sir Francis Drake Boulevard
12 and the Cal Park Hill rail right-of-way, adding a new lane to East
13 Sir Francis Drake Boulevard and rehabilitating the Cal Park Hill
14 Rail Tunnel and right-of-way approaches for bicycle and
15 pedestrian access to connect the San Rafael Transit Center with the
16 Larkspur Ferry Terminal. Sixty-five million dollars
17 (\$65,000,000). The project sponsor is Marin County Congestion
18 Management Agency.

19 (12) Direct High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lane connector
20 from Interstate 680 to the Pleasant Hill or Walnut Creek BART
21 stations or in close proximity to either station or as an extension
22 of the southbound Interstate 680 High-Occupancy Vehicle Lane
23 through the Interstate 680/State Highway Route 4 interchange
24 from North Main in Walnut Creek to Livorna Road. The County
25 Connection shall utilize up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) of
26 the funds described in this paragraph to develop options and
27 recommendations for providing express bus service on the
28 Interstate 680 High-Occupancy Vehicle Lane south of the Benicia
29 Bridge in order to connect to BART. Upon completion of the plan,
30 the Contra Costa Transportation Authority shall adopt a preferred
31 alternative provided by the County Connection plan for future
32 funding. Following adoption of the preferred alternative, the
33 remaining funds may be expended either to fund the preferred
34 alternative or to extend the high-occupancy vehicle lane as
35 described in this paragraph. Fifteen million dollars (\$15,000,000).
36 The project is sponsored by the Contra Costa Transportation
37 Authority.

38 (13) Rail Extension to East Contra Costa/E-BART. Extend
39 BART from Pittsburg/Bay Point Station to Byron in East Contra
40 Costa County. Ninety-six million dollars (\$96,000,000). Project

funds may only be used if the project is in compliance with adopted BART policies with respect to appropriate land use zoning in vicinity of proposed stations. The project is jointly sponsored by BART and Contra Costa Transportation Authority.

(14) Capitol Corridor Improvements in Interstate 80/Interstate 680 Corridor. Fund track and station improvements, including the Suisun Third Main Track and new Fairfield Station. Twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000). The project sponsor is Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority and the Solano Transportation Authority.

(15) Central Contra Costa Bay Area Rapid Transit (BART) Crossover. Add new track before Pleasant Hill BART Station to permit BART trains to cross to return track towards San Francisco. Twenty-five million dollars (\$25,000,000). The project sponsor is BART.

(16) Benicia-Martinez Bridge: New Span. Provide partial funding for completion of new five-lane span between Benicia and Martinez to significantly increase capacity in the I-680 corridor. Fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000). The project sponsor is the Bay Area Toll Authority.

(17) Regional Express Bus North. Competitive grant program for bus service in Richmond-San Rafael Bridge, Carquinez, Benicia-Martinez and Antioch Bridge corridors. Provide funding for park and ride lots, infrastructure improvements, and rolling stock. Eligible recipients include Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District, Vallejo Transit, Napa VINE, Fairfield-Suisun Transit, Western Contra Costa Transit Authority, Eastern Contra Costa Transit Authority, and Central Contra Costa Transit Authority. The Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District shall receive a minimum of one million six hundred thousand dollars (\$1,600,000). Napa VINE shall receive a minimum of two million four hundred thousand dollars (\$2,400,000). Twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000). The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

(18) TransLink. Integrate the Bay Area's regional smart card technology, TransLink, with operator fare collection equipment and expand system to new transit services. Twenty-two million dollars (\$22,000,000). The project sponsor is the Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

1 (19) Real-Time Transit Information. Provide a competitive
2 grant program for transit operators for assistance with
3 implementation of high-technology systems to provide real-time
4 transit information to riders at transit stops or via telephone,
5 wireless, or Internet communication. Priority shall be given to
6 projects identified in the commission's connectivity plan adopted
7 pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 30914.5. Twenty million
8 dollars (\$20,000,000). The funds shall be administered by the
9 Metropolitan Transportation Commission.

10 (20) Safe Routes to Transit: Plan and construct bicycle and
11 pedestrian access improvements in close proximity to transit
12 facilities. Priority shall be given to those projects that best provide
13 access to regional transit services. Twenty-two million five
14 hundred thousand dollars (\$22,500,000). City Car Share shall
15 receive two million five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) to
16 expand its program within approximately one-quarter mile of
17 transbay regional transit terminals or stations. The City Car Share
18 project is sponsored by City Car Share and the Safe Routes to
19 Transit project is jointly sponsored by the East Bay Bicycle
20 Coalition and the Transportation and Land Use Coalition. These
21 sponsors must identify a public agency cosponsor for purposes of
22 specific project fund allocations.

23 (21) BART Tube Seismic Strengthening. Add seismic capacity
24 to existing BART tube connecting the east bay with San Francisco.
25 One hundred forty-three million dollars (\$143,000,000). The
26 project sponsor is BART.

27 (22) Transbay Terminal/Downtown Caltrain Extension. A new
28 Transbay Terminal at First and Mission Streets in San Francisco
29 providing added capacity for transbay, regional, local, and
30 intercity bus services, the extension of Caltrain rail services into
31 the terminal, and accommodation of a future high-speed passenger
32 rail line to the terminal and eventual rail connection to the east bay.
33 Eligible expenses include project planning, design and
34 engineering, construction of a new terminal and its associated
35 ramps and tunnels, demolition of existing structures, design and
36 development of a temporary terminal, property and right-of-way
37 acquisitions required for the project, and associated
38 project-related administrative expenses. A bus- and train-ready
39 terminal facility, including purchase and acquisition of necessary
40 rights-of-way for the terminal, ramps, and rail extension, is the



first priority for toll funds for the Transbay Terminal/Downtown Caltrain Extension Project. The temporary terminal operation shall not exceed five years. One hundred fifty million dollars (\$150,000,000). The project sponsor is the Transbay Joint Powers Authority.

(23) Oakland Airport Connector. New transit connection to link BART, Capitol Corridor and AC Transit with Oakland Airport. The Port of Oakland shall provide a full funding plan for the connector. Thirty million dollars (\$30,000,000). The project sponsors are the Port of Oakland and BART.

(24) AC Transit Enhanced Bus-Phase 1 on Telegraph Avenue, International Boulevard, and East 14th Street (Berkeley-Oakland-San Leandro). Develop enhanced bus service on these corridors, including bus bulbs, signal prioritization, new buses, and other improvements. Priority of investment shall improve the AC connection to BART on these corridors. Sixty-five million dollars (\$65,000,000). The project sponsor is AC Transit.

(25) Commute Ferry Service for Alameda/Oakland/Harbor Bay. Purchase two vessels for ferry services between Alameda and Oakland areas and San Francisco. Second vessel funds to be released upon demonstration of appropriate terminal locations, new transit oriented development, adequate parking, and sufficient landside feeder connections to support ridership projections. Twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000). The project sponsor is Water Transit Authority. If the Water Transit Authority demonstrates to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission that it has secured alternative funding for the two vessel purchases described in this paragraph, the funds may be used for terminal improvements.

(26) Commute Ferry Service for Berkeley/Albany. Purchase two vessels for ferry services between the Berkeley/Albany Terminal and San Francisco. Parking access and landside feeder connections must be sufficient to support ridership projections. Twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000). The project sponsor is Water Transit Authority. If the Water Transit Authority demonstrates to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission that it has secured alternative funding for the two vessel purchases described in this paragraph, the funds may be used for terminal improvements. If the Water Transit Authority does not have an

entitled terminal site within the Berkeley/Albany catchment area by 2010 that meets its requirements, the funds described in this paragraph and the operating funds described in paragraph (7) of subdivision (d) shall be transferred to another site in the East Bay. The City of Richmond shall be given first priority to receive this transfer of funds if it has met the planning milestones identified in its special study developed pursuant to paragraph (28).

(27) Commute Ferry Service for South San Francisco. Purchase two vessels for ferry services to the Peninsula. Parking access and landside feeder connections must be sufficient to support ridership projections. Twelve million dollars (\$12,000,000). The project sponsor is Water Transit Authority. If the Water Transit Authority demonstrates to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission that it has secured alternative funding for the two vessel purchases described in this paragraph, the funds may be used for terminal improvements.

(28) Water Transit Facility Improvements, Spare Vessels, and Environmental Review Costs. Provide two backup vessels for Water Transit Authority services, expand berthing capacity at the Port of San Francisco, and expand environmental studies and design for eligible locations. Forty-eight million dollars (\$48,000,000). The project sponsor is Water Transit Authority. Up to one million dollars (\$1,000,000) of the funds described in this paragraph shall be made available for the Water Transit Authority to study accelerating development and other milestones that would potentially increase ridership at the City of Richmond ferry terminal.

(29) Regional Express Bus Service for San Mateo, Dumbarton, and Bay Bridge Corridors. Expand park and ride lots, improve HOV access, construct ramp improvements, and purchase rolling stock. Twenty-two million dollars (\$22,000,000). The project sponsors are AC Transit and Alameda County Congestion Management Agency.

(30) I-880 North Safety Improvements. Reconfigure various ramps on I-880 and provide appropriate mitigations between 29th Avenue and 16th Avenue. Ten million dollars (\$10,000,000). The project sponsors are Alameda County Congestion Management Agency, City of Oakland, and the Department of Transportation.

(31) BART Warm Springs Extension. Extension of the existing BART system from Fremont to Warm Springs in southern

1 Alameda County. Ninety-five million dollars (\$95,000,000). Up
2 to ten million dollars (\$10,000,000) shall be used for grade
3 separation work in the City of Fremont necessary to extend BART.
4 The project would facilitate a future rail service extension to the
5 Silicon Valley. The project sponsor is BART.

6 (32) I-580 (Tri Valley) Rapid Transit Corridor Improvements.
7 Provide rail or High-Occupancy Vehicle lane direct connector to
8 Dublin BART and other improvements on I-580 in Alameda
9 County for use by express buses. Sixty-five million dollars
10 (\$65,000,000). The project sponsor is Alameda County
11 Congestion Management Agency.

12 (33) Regional Rail Master Plan. Provide planning funds for
13 integrated regional rail study pursuant to subdivision (f) of Section
14 30914.5. Six million five hundred thousand dollars (\$6,500,000).
15 The project sponsors are Caltrain and BART.

16 (34) Integrated Fare Structure Program. Provide planning
17 funds for the development of zonal monthly transit passes pursuant
18 to subdivision (e) of Section 30914.5. One million five hundred
19 thousand dollars (\$1,500,000). The project sponsor is the
20 Translink Consortium.

21 (35) Transit Commuter Benefits Promotion. Marketing
22 program to promote tax-saving opportunities for employers and
23 employees as specified in Section 132(f)(3) of the Internal
24 Revenue Code. Goal is to increase the participation rate of
25 employers offering employees a tax-free benefit to commute to
26 work by transit. The project sponsor is the Metropolitan
27 Transportation Commission. Five million dollars (\$5,000,000).

28 (36) Caldecott Tunnel Improvements. Provide funds to plan
29 and construct a fourth bore at the Caldecott Tunnel between Contra
30 Costa and Alameda Counties. The fourth bore will be a two-lane
31 bore with a shoulder or shoulders north of the current three bores.
32 The County Connection shall study all feasible alternatives to
33 increase transit capacity in the westbound corridor of State
34 Highway Route 24 between State Highway Route 680 and the
35 Caldecott Tunnel, including the study of the use of an express lane,
36 high-occupancy vehicle lane, and an auxiliary lane. The cost of the
37 study shall not exceed five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000)
38 and shall be completed not later than January 15, 2006. Fifty
39 million five hundred thousand dollars (\$50,500,000). The project
40 sponsor is the Contra Costa Transportation Authority.

(d) Not more than 38 percent of the revenues generated from the toll increase shall be made available annually for the purpose of providing operating assistance for transit services as set forth in the authority's annual budget resolution. The funds shall be made available to the provider of the transit services subject to the performance measures described in Section 30914.5. If the funds cannot be obligated for operating assistance consistent with the performance measures, these funds shall be obligated for other operations consistent with this chapter.

Except for operating programs that do not have planned funding increases and subject to the 38-percent limit on total operating cost funding in any single year, following the first year of scheduled operations, an escalation factor, not to exceed 1.5 percent per year, shall be added to the operating cost funding through fiscal year 2015–16, to partially offset increased operating costs. The escalation factors shall be contained in the operating agreements described in Section 30914.5. Subject to the limitations of this paragraph, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission may annually fund the following operating programs as another component of the Regional Traffic Relief Plan:

(1) Golden Gate Express Bus Service over the Richmond Bridge (Route 40). Two million one hundred thousand dollars (\$2,100,000).

(2) Napa Vine Service terminating at the Vallejo Intermodal Terminal. Three hundred ninety thousand dollars (\$390,000).

(3) Regional Express Bus North Pool serving the Carquinez and Benicia Bridge Corridors. Three million four hundred thousand dollars (\$3,400,000).

(4) Regional Express Bus South Pool serving the Bay Bridge, San Mateo Bridge, and Dumbarton Bridge Corridors. Six million five hundred thousand dollars (\$6,500,000).

(5) Dumbarton Rail. Five million five hundred thousand dollars (\$5,500,000).

(6) Water Transit Authority, Alameda/Oakland/Harbor Bay. A portion of the operating funds may be dedicated to landside transit operations. Six million four hundred thousand dollars (\$6,400,000).

(7) Water Transit Authority, Berkeley/Albany. A portion of the operating funds may be dedicated to landside transit operations. Three million two hundred thousand dollars (\$3,200,000).

1 (8) Water Transit Authority, South San Francisco. A portion of
2 the operating funds may be dedicated to landside operations. Three
3 million dollars (\$3,000,000).

4 (9) Vallejo Ferry. Two million seven hundred thousand dollars
5 (\$2,700,000).

6 (10) Owl Bus Service on BART Corridor. One million eight
7 hundred thousand dollars (\$1,800,000).

8 (11) MUNI Metro Third Street Light Rail Line. Two million
9 five hundred thousand dollars (\$2,500,000) without escalation.

10 (12) AC Transit Enhanced Bus Service on Telegraph Avenue,
11 International Boulevard, and East 14th Street in
12 Berkeley-Oakland-San Leandro. Three million dollars
13 (\$3,000,000) without escalation.

14 (13) TransLink, three-year operating program. Twenty million
15 dollars (\$20,000,000) without escalation.

16 (14) Water Transit Authority, regional planning and
17 operations. Three million dollars (\$3,000,000) without escalation.

18 (e) For all projects authorized under subdivision (c), the project
19 sponsor shall submit an initial project report to the Metropolitan
20 Transportation Commission before July 1, 2004. This report shall
21 include all information required to describe the project in detail,
22 including the status of any environmental documents relevant to
23 the project, additional funds required to fully fund the project, the
24 amount, if any, of funds expended to date, and a summary of any
25 impediments to the completion of the project. This report, or an
26 updated report, shall include a detailed financial plan and shall
27 notify the commission if the project sponsor will request toll
28 revenue within the subsequent 12 months. The project sponsor
29 shall update this report as needed or requested by the commission.
30 No funds shall be allocated by the commission for any project
31 authorized by subdivision (c) until the project sponsor submits the
32 initial project report, and the report is reviewed and approved by
33 the commission.

34 If multiple project sponsors are listed for projects listed in
35 subdivision (c), the commission shall identify a lead sponsor in
36 coordination with all identified sponsors, for purposes of
37 allocating funds. For any projects authorized under subdivision
38 (c), the commission shall have the option of requiring a
39 memorandum of understanding between itself and the project
40 sponsor or sponsors that shall include any specific requirements

1 that must be met prior to the allocation of funds provided under
2 subdivision (c).

3 (f) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall
4 annually assess the status of programs and projects and shall
5 allocate a portion of funding made available under Section 30921
6 or 30958 for public information and advertising to support the
7 services and projects identified in subdivisions (c) and (d). If an
8 operating program or project cannot achieve its performance
9 objectives described in subdivision (a) of Section 30914.5 or if a
10 program or project cannot be completed or cannot continue due to
11 delivery or financing obstacles making the completion or
12 continuation of the program or project unrealistic, the commission
13 shall consult with the program or the project sponsor. After
14 consulting with the sponsor, the commission shall hold a public
15 hearing concerning the project. After the hearing, the commission
16 may vote to modify the program or the project's scope, decrease
17 its level of funding, or to reassign all of the funds to another or an
18 additional regional transit program or project within the same
19 corridor. If a program or project does not meet the required
20 performance measures, the commission shall give the sponsor a
21 time certain to achieve the performance measures or have its
22 funding reassigned.

23 (g) If the voters approve a toll increase pursuant to Section
24 30921, the authority shall within 24 months of the election date,
25 include the projects in a long-range plan that are consistent with
26 the commission's findings required by this section and Section
27 30914.5. The authority shall update its long-range plan as required
28 to maintain its viability as a strategic plan for funding projects
29 authorized by this section. The authority shall by January 1, 2007,
30 submit its updated long-range plan to the transportation policy
31 committee of each house of the Legislature for review.

32 (h) If the voters approve a toll increase pursuant to Section
33 30921, and if additional funds from this toll increase are available
34 following the funding obligations of subdivisions (c) and (d), the
35 authority may set aside a reserve to fund future rolling stock
36 replacement to enhance the sustainability of the services
37 enumerated in subdivision (d). The authority shall, by January 1,
38 2020, submit a 20-year toll bridge expenditure plan to the
39 Legislature for adoption. This expenditure plan shall have, as its

1 highest priority, replacement of transit vehicles purchased
2 pursuant to subdivision (c).

3 SEC. 5. Section 30914.5 of the Streets and Highways Code is
4 amended to read:

5 30914.5. (a) Prior to the allocation of revenue for transit
6 operating assistance under subdivision (d) of Section 30914, the
7 Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall adopt
8 performance measures related to fare-box recovery, ridership, and
9 other performance measures as needed. The performance
10 measures shall be developed in consultation with the affected
11 transit operators and the commission's advisory council.

12 (b) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall
13 execute an operating agreement with the sponsors of the projects
14 described in subdivision (d) of Section 30914. This agreement
15 shall include, at a minimum, a fully funded operating plan that
16 conforms to and is consistent with the adopted performance
17 measures. The agreement shall also include a schedule of projected
18 fare revenues or other operating revenues to indicate that the
19 service is viable in the near-term and is expected to meet the
20 adopted performance measures in future years. For any individual
21 project sponsor, this operating agreement may include additional
22 requirements, as determined by the commission, to be met prior to
23 the allocation of transit assistance under subdivision (d) of Section
24 30914.

25 (c) Prior to the annual allocation of transit operating assistance
26 funds by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission pursuant to
27 subdivision (d) of Section 30914, the Metropolitan Transportation
28 Commission shall conduct, or shall require the sponsoring agency
29 to conduct, an independent audit that contains audited financial
30 information, including an opinion on the status and cost of the
31 project and its compliance with the approved performance
32 measures. Notwithstanding this requirement, each operator shall
33 be given a one-year trial period to operate new service. In the first
34 year of new service, the sponsor shall develop a reporting and
35 accounting structure for the performance measures. ~~After the~~
36 ~~second full~~ *Commencing with the third* operating year, sponsors
37 shall be subject to the approved performance measures.

38 (d) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission shall adopt
39 a regional transit connectivity plan by December 1, 2005. The
40 connectivity plan shall be incorporated into the commission's

Transit Coordination Implementation Plan pursuant to Section 66516.5 of the Government Code. The connectivity plan shall require operators to comply with the plan utilizing commission authority pursuant to Section 66516.5 of the Government Code. The commission shall consult with the Partnership Transit Coordination Council in developing a plan that identifies and evaluates opportunities for improving transit connectivity and shall include, but not be limited to, the following components:

(1) A network of key transit hubs connecting regional rapid transit services to one another, and to feeder transit services. “Regional rapid transit” means long-haul transit service that crosses county lines, and operates mostly in dedicated rights-of-way, including freeway high-occupancy vehicle lanes, crossing a bridge, or on the bay. The identified transit hubs shall operate either as a timed transfer network or as pulsed hub connections, providing regularly scheduled connections between two or more transit lines.

(2) Physical infrastructure and right-of-way improvements necessary to improve system reliability and connections at transit hubs. Physical infrastructure improvements may include, but are not limited to, improved rail-to-rail transfer facilities, including cross-platform transfers, and intermodal transit improvements that facilitate rail-to-bus, rail-to-ferry, ferry-to-ferry, ferry-to bus, and bus-to-bus transfers. Capital improvements identified in the plan shall be eligible for funding in the commission’s regional transportation plan.

(3) Regional standards and procedures to ensure maximum coordination of schedule connections to minimize transfer times between transit lines at key transit hubs, including, but not limited to, the following:

(A) Policies and procedures for improved fare collection.

(B) Enhanced trip-planning services, including Internet-based programs, telephone information systems, and printed schedules.

(C) Enhanced schedule coordination through the implementation of real-time transit-vehicle location systems that facilitate communication between systems and result in improved timed transfers between routes.

(D) Performance measures and data collection to monitor the performance of the connectivity plan.

1 The connectivity plan shall focus on, but not be limited to,
 2 feeder transit lines connecting to regional rapid transit services,
 3 and the connection of regional rapid transit services to one another.
 4 The connectivity plan shall be adopted following a Metropolitan
 5 Transportation Commission public hearing at least 60 days prior
 6 to adoption. The commission shall adopt performance measures
 7 and collect appropriate data to monitor the performance of the
 8 connectivity plan. The plan shall be evaluated every three years by
 9 the commission as part of the update to its regional transportation
 10 plan. No agency shall be eligible to receive funds under this section
 11 unless the agency is a participant operator in the commission's
 12 regional transit connectivity plan.

13 The provisions of this subdivision shall only be effective if the
 14 voters approve the toll increase as set forth in Section 30921, and
 15 the expenditures incurred by the Metropolitan Transportation
 16 Commission up to five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) that
 17 are related to the requirements of this subdivision, including any
 18 study, shall be reimbursed from toll revenues identified in
 19 paragraph (33) of subdivision (c) of Section 30914.

20 (e) The TransLink Consortium, per the TransLink Interagency
 21 Participation Agreement, shall by July 1, 2007, develop a plan for
 22 an integrated fare program covering all regional rapid transit trips
 23 funded in full or in part by this section. "Regional rapid transit"
 24 means long-haul transit services that cross county lines, and
 25 operate mostly in dedicated rights-of-way, including freeway
 26 high-occupancy vehicle lanes, crossing a bridge, or on the bay.
 27 Interregional rail services, originating or terminating from outside
 28 the Bay Area, shall not be considered regional rapid transit. The
 29 purpose of the integrated fare program is to encourage greater use
 30 of the region's transit network by making it easier and less costly
 31 for transit riders whose regular commute involves multizonal
 32 travel and may involve the transfer between two or more transit
 33 agencies, including regional-to-regional and regional-to-local
 34 transfers. The integrated fare program shall include a zonal fare
 35 system for the sole purpose of creating a monthly zonal pass
 36 (monthly pass), allowing for unlimited or discounted fares for
 37 transit riders making a minimum number of monthly transit trips
 38 between two or more zones. The number of minimum trips shall
 39 be established by the plan. The integrated fare program shall not
 40 apply to fare structures that are not purchased on a monthly basis.

1 For the purposes of these zonal fares, geographic zones shall be
2 created in the Bay Area. To the extent practical, zone boundaries
3 for overlapping systems shall be in the same places and shall
4 correspond to the boundaries of the local transit service areas. A
5 regional rapid transit zone may cover more than one local service
6 area, or may subdivide an existing local service area. The monthly
7 pass shall be created in at least the following two forms:

8 (1) For the use of interzonal regional rapid transit trips without
9 local transit discounts.

10 (2) For the use of interzonal regional rapid transit trips with
11 local transit discounts. The plan may recommend the elimination
12 of existing transit pass arrangements to simplify the marketing of
13 the monthly pass. The integrated fare program shall establish a
14 monitoring program to evaluate the impact of the integrated fare
15 program on the operating finances of the participating agencies.
16 The integrated fare program shall be adjusted as necessary to
17 ensure that the program does not jeopardize the viability of local
18 or regional rapid transit routes impacted by the program, and to the
19 extent feasible, provide an equitable revenue sharing arrangement
20 among the participating agencies. This subdivision shall only be
21 effective if the voters approve the toll increase as set forth in
22 Section 30921, and any expenditures related to the
23 implementation of this subdivision incurred by the TransLink
24 Consortium shall be reimbursed by toll revenues designated in
25 paragraph (34) of subdivision (c) of Section 30914.

26 (f) The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC)
27 shall, by July 1, 2006, adopt a Bay Area Regional Rail Plan (plan)
28 for the development of passenger rail services in the San Francisco
29 Bay Area over the short, medium, and long term. The plan shall
30 formulate strategies to integrate passenger rail systems, improve
31 interfaces with connecting services, expand the regional rapid
32 transit network, and coordinate investments with
33 transit-supportive land use. The plan shall be governed by a
34 steering committee consisting of appointees from the Department
35 of Transportation (Caltrans), the San Francisco Bay Area Rapid
36 Transit District (BART), Caltrain, the National Railroad
37 Passenger Corporation (Amtrak), the Capitol Corridor Joint
38 Powers Authority, the Altamont Commuter Express, the
39 High-Speed Rail Authority, the Metropolitan Transportation
40 Commission (MTC), the Sonoma-Marín Area Rail Transit District

1 (SMART), the Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority, the
 2 Solano Transportation Authority, and the owners of standard
 3 gauge rail. Congestion management agencies and other agencies
 4 as determined by the steering committee shall be invited as
 5 nonvoting members. Under policy guidance from the steering
 6 committee and with input from Bay Area transit agencies,
 7 Caltrain, and BART shall provide day-to-day management and
 8 technical support for the development of this plan. The plan
 9 proposals shall be evaluated using performance criteria, including,
 10 but not limited to, transit-supportive land use and access, ridership,
 11 cost-effectiveness, regional network connectivity, and capital and
 12 operating financial stability. Additional performance criteria shall
 13 be developed as necessary. The plan shall include, but not be
 14 limited to, all of the following:

- 15 (1) Identification of issues in connectivity, access, capacity,
 16 operations and cost-effectiveness.
- 17 (2) Identification of opportunities to enhance rail connectivity
 18 and to maximize passenger convenience when transferring
 19 between systems.
- 20 (3) Recommendation of improvements to the interface with
 21 shuttles, buses, other rail systems, and other feeder modes.
- 22 (4) Identification of potential impacts on capacity constraints
 23 and operations on existing passenger and freight carriers.
- 24 (5) Identification of bottlenecks where added capacity could
 25 cost-effectively increase performance.
- 26 (6) Recommendation of potential efficiency improvements
 27 through economies of scale, such as through joint vehicle
 28 procurement and maintenance facilities.
- 29 (7) Recommendation of strategies to acquire right-of-way and
 30 station property to preserve future service options.
- 31 (8) Identification of potential capital and operating funding
 32 sources for proposed actions.
- 33 (9) Identification of locations where the presence of passenger
 34 rail could stimulate redevelopment and thereby direct growth to
 35 the urban core.
- 36 (10) Recommendation of technology-appropriate service
 37 expansion in specific corridors. Technologies to be considered
 38 include conventional rail transit modes, bus rapid transit, and
 39 emerging rail technologies. Identify phasing strategies for the
 40 implementation of rail services where appropriate.

1 (11) Examination of how recommendations would integrate
2 with proposed high-speed rail to the Central Valley and southern
3 California. Up to two million five hundred thousand dollars
4 (\$2,500,000) of the funds described in paragraph (33) of
5 subdivision (c) of Section 30914 may be expended by the
6 Metropolitan Transportation Commission and the High-Speed
7 Rail Authority to study Bay Area access to the high-speed rail
8 system. Up to five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) of the
9 funds described in paragraph (33) of subdivision (c) of Section
10 30914 may be expended by the Metropolitan Transportation
11 Commission and the High-Speed Rail Authority to study the
12 feasibility and construction of an intermodal transfer hub at Niles
13 (Shinn Street) Junction. The Metropolitan Transportation
14 Commission and the High-Speed Rail Authority, or its successor,
15 shall collaborate with a steering committee in conducting these
16 studies.

17 (12) Recommendation of a governance strategy to implement
18 and operate future regional rapid transit services.

19 This subdivision shall only be effective if the voters approve the
20 toll increase as set forth in Section 30921. Any expenditures
21 incurred by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission or the
22 project sponsors identified in paragraph (33) of subdivision (c) of
23 Section 30914 related to the requirements of this subdivision,
24 including any study and administration, shall be appropriate
25 charges against toll revenue to be reimbursed from toll revenues.

26 SEC. 6. Section 5201 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

27 5201. License plates shall at all times be securely fastened to
28 the vehicle for which they are issued so as to prevent the plates
29 from swinging, shall be mounted in a position so as to be clearly
30 visible, and shall be maintained in a condition so as to be clearly
31 legible. The rear license plate shall be mounted not less than 12
32 inches nor more than 60 inches from the ground, and the front
33 license plate shall be mounted not more than 60 inches from the
34 ground, except as follows:

35 (a) The rear license plate on a tow truck may be mounted on the
36 left-hand side of the mast assembly at the rear of the cab of the
37 vehicle, not less than 12 inches nor more than 90 inches from the
38 ground.

39 (b) The rear license plate on a tank vehicle hauling hazardous
40 waste, as defined in Section 25117 of the Health and Safety Code,

1 or asphalt material may be mounted not less than 12 inches nor
2 more than 90 inches from the ground.

3 (c) The rear license plate on a truck tractor may be mounted at
4 the rear of the cab of the vehicle, but not less than 12 inches nor
5 more than 90 inches from the ground.

6 (d) The rear license plate of a vehicle designed by the
7 manufacturer for the collection and transportation of garbage,
8 rubbish, or refuse that is used regularly for the collection and
9 transportation of that material by any person or governmental
10 entity employed to collect, transport, and dispose of garbage,
11 rubbish, or refuse may be mounted not less than 12 inches nor more
12 than 90 inches from the ground.

13 (e) The rear license plate on a two-axle livestock trailer may be
14 mounted 12 inches or more, but not more than 90 inches, from the
15 ground.

16 (f) No covering may be used on license plates except as
17 follows:

18 (1) The installation of a cover over a lawfully parked vehicle
19 to protect it from the weather and the elements does not constitute
20 a violation of this subdivision. Any peace officer or other regularly
21 salaried employee of a public agency designated to enforce laws,
22 including local ordinances, relating to the parking of vehicles may
23 temporarily remove so much of the cover as is necessary to inspect
24 any license plate, tab, or indicia of registration on a vehicle.

25 (2) The installation of a license plate security cover is not a
26 violation of this subdivision if the device does not obstruct or
27 impair the recognition of the license plate information, including,
28 but not limited to, the issuing state, license plate number, and
29 registration tabs, and the cover is limited to the area directly over
30 the top of the registration tabs. No portion of a license plate
31 security cover shall rest over the license plate number.

32 (g) No casing, shield, frame, border, or other device that
33 obstructs or impairs the reading or recognition of a license plate by
34 a remote emission sensing device, as specified in Sections 44081
35 and 44081.6 of the Health and Safety Code, shall be installed on,
36 or affixed to, a vehicle.

37 (h) (1) It is the Legislature's intent that an accommodation be
38 made to persons with disabilities and to those persons who
39 regularly transport persons with disabilities, to allow the removal
40 and relocation of wheelchair lifts and wheelchair carriers without

1 the necessity of removing and reattaching the vehicle's rear license
2 plate. Therefore, it is not a violation of this section if the reading
3 or recognition of a rear license plate is obstructed or impaired by
4 a wheelchair lift or wheelchair carrier and all of the following
5 requirements are met:

6 (A) The owner of the vehicle has been issued a special
7 identification license plate pursuant to Section 5007, or the person
8 using the wheelchair that is carried on the vehicle has been issued
9 a distinguishing placard under Section 22511.55.

10 (B) (i) The operator of the vehicle displays a decal, designed
11 and issued by the department, that contains the license plate
12 number assigned to the vehicle transporting the wheelchair.

13 (ii) The decal is displayed on the rear window of the vehicle,
14 in a location determined by the department, in consultation with
15 the Department of the California Highway Patrol, so as to be
16 clearly visible to law enforcement.

17 (2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a decal is
18 displayed pursuant to this subdivision, the requirements of this
19 code that require the illumination of the license plate and the
20 license plate number do not apply.

21 (3) The department shall adopt regulations governing the
22 procedures for accepting and approving applications for decals,
23 and issuing decals, authorized by this subdivision.

24 (4) This subdivision does not apply to a front license plate.

25 SEC. 7. Section 5751.5 of the Vehicle Code is amended to
26 read:

27 5751.5. (a) Upon transfer of the title or interest of the
28 registered owner of a motor vehicle that is subject to Part 5
29 (commencing with Section 43000) of Division 26 of the Health
30 and Safety Code, if no certificate of compliance or certificate of
31 noncompliance is submitted to the department pursuant to the
32 exemptions described in paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) of
33 Section 4000.1, the transferor of that vehicle shall sign and deliver
34 to the transferee, upon completion of the transaction, the original
35 copy of a statement, under penalty of perjury, that he or she has not
36 modified the emissions system of the vehicle and does not have
37 any personal knowledge of anyone else modifying the system in
38 a manner that causes the emission system to fail to qualify for the
39 issuance of a certificate of compliance pursuant to Section 44015
40 of the Health and Safety Code. The transferor shall keep a

duplicate copy of the statement delivered to the transferee pursuant to this section. The department shall prescribe and make available to transferors the necessary forms to comply with this subdivision.

(b) Any form prescribed by the department pursuant to subdivision (a) shall contain the following statement and a space for the signatures of the transferor and transferee at the end of the statement:

“WARNING TO THE BUYER

“A valid certificate of compliance was submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles with an application for the renewal of registration of this vehicle. If an application for transfer is submitted to the department within the 90-day validity period of the smog certification, no new smog certification will be required. However, at present, you may be purchasing a vehicle that may not be in compliance with specified emission standards.

“By signing this statement, you acknowledge that the seller is not required to provide you with an additional certificate of compliance prior to the completion of this transaction.

“You may have this vehicle tested at a licensed smog check station prior to completion of this transaction to verify compliance. If the vehicle passes the test, you shall be responsible for the costs of the test. If the vehicle fails the test, the seller is obligated to reimburse you the cost of having the vehicle tested and, without expense to you, must have the vehicle repaired to comply with specified emission standards prior to completion of this transaction.

(Transferor)

(Date)

(Transferee)

(Date)”

SEC. 8. Section 9250.7 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

9250.7. (a) (1) A service authority established under Section 22710 may impose a service fee of one dollar (\$1) on all vehicles, except vehicles described in subdivision (a) of Section 5014.1, registered to an owner with an address in the county that

1 established the service authority. The fee shall be paid to the
2 department at the time of registration, or renewal of registration,
3 or when renewal becomes delinquent, except on vehicles that are
4 expressly exempted under this code from the payment of
5 registration fees.

6 (2) In addition to the one dollar (\$1) service fee, and upon the
7 implementation of the permanent trailer identification plate
8 program, and as part of the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act
9 of 2001, all commercial motor vehicles subject to Section 9400.1
10 registered to an owner with an address in the county that
11 established a service authority under this section shall pay an
12 additional service fee of two dollars (\$2).

13 (b) The department, after deducting its administrative costs,
14 shall transmit, at least quarterly, the net amount collected pursuant
15 to subdivision (a) to the Treasurer for deposit in the Abandoned
16 Vehicle Trust Fund, which is hereby created. All money in the fund
17 is continuously appropriated to the Controller for allocation to a
18 service authority that has an approved abandoned vehicle
19 abatement program pursuant to Section 22710, and for payment of
20 the administrative costs of the Controller. After deduction of its
21 administrative costs, the Controller shall allocate the money in the
22 Abandoned Vehicle Trust Fund to each service authority in
23 proportion to the revenues received from the fee imposed by that
24 authority pursuant to subdivision (a). If any funds received by a
25 service authority pursuant to this section are not expended to abate
26 abandoned vehicles pursuant to an approved abandoned vehicle
27 abatement program that has been in existence for at least two full
28 fiscal years within 90 days of the close of the fiscal year in which
29 the funds were received and the amount of those funds exceeds the
30 amount expended by the service authority for the abatement of
31 abandoned vehicles in the previous fiscal year, the fee imposed
32 pursuant to subdivision (a) shall be suspended for one year,
33 commencing on July 1 following the Controller's determination
34 pursuant to subdivision (e).

35 (c) Every service authority that imposes a fee authorized by
36 subdivision (a) shall issue a fiscal yearend report to the Controller
37 on or before October 31 of each year summarizing all of the
38 following:

39 (1) The total revenues received by the service authority during
40 the previous fiscal year.

1 (2) The total expenditures by the service authority during the
2 previous fiscal year.

3 (3) The total number of vehicles abated during the previous
4 fiscal year.

5 (4) The average cost per abatement during the previous fiscal
6 year.

7 (5) Any additional, unexpended fee revenues for the service
8 authority during the previous fiscal year.

9 (d) Each service authority that fails to submit the report
10 required pursuant to subdivision (c) by October 31 of each year
11 shall have its fee pursuant to subdivision (a) suspended for one
12 year commencing on July 1 following the Controller's
13 determination pursuant to subdivision (e).

14 (e) On or before January 1 annually, the Controller shall review
15 the fiscal yearend reports, submitted by each service authority
16 pursuant to subdivision (c) and due no later than October 31, to
17 determine if fee revenues are being utilized in a manner consistent
18 with the service authority's approved program. If the Controller
19 determines that the use of the fee revenues is not consistent with
20 the service authority's program as approved by the California
21 Highway Patrol, or that an excess of fee revenues exists, as
22 specified in subdivision (b), the authority to collect the fee shall be
23 suspended for one year pursuant to subdivision (b). If the
24 Controller determines that a service authority has not submitted a
25 fiscal yearend report as required in subdivision (c), the
26 authorization to collect the service fee shall be suspended for one
27 year pursuant to subdivisions (b) and (d). The Controller shall
28 inform the Department of Motor Vehicles on or before January 1
29 annually, that the authority to collect the fee is suspended. A
30 suspension shall only occur if the service authority has been in
31 existence for at least two full fiscal years and the revenue fee
32 surpluses are in excess of those allowed under this section, the use
33 of the fee revenue is not consistent with the service authority's
34 approved program, or the required fiscal yearend report has not
35 been submitted by October 31.

36 (f) On or before January 1 annually, the Controller shall
37 prepare and submit to the Legislature a revenue and expenditure
38 summary for each service authority established under Section
39 22710 that includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

40 (1) The total revenues received by each service authority.

1 (2) The total expenditures by each service authority.

2 (3) The unexpended revenues for each service authority.

3 (4) The total number of vehicle abatements for each service
4 authority.

5 (5) The average cost per abatement as provided by each service
6 authority to the Controller pursuant to subdivision (c).

7 (g) The fee imposed by a service authority shall remain in effect
8 only for a period of 10 years from the date that the actual collection
9 of the fee commenced unless the fee is extended pursuant to this
10 subdivision. The fee may be extended in increments of up to 10
11 years each if the board of supervisors of the county, by a two-thirds
12 vote, and a majority of the cities having a majority of the
13 incorporated population within the county adopt resolutions
14 providing for the extension of the fee.

15 SEC. 9. Section 12810 of the Vehicle Code is amended to
16 read:

17 12810. In determining the violation point count, the following
18 shall apply:

19 (a) Any conviction of failure to stop in the event of an accident
20 in violation of Section 20001 or 20002 shall be given a value of two
21 points.

22 (b) Any conviction of a violation of Section 23152 or 23153
23 shall be given a value of two points.

24 (c) Any conviction of reckless driving shall be given a value of
25 two points.

26 (d) (1) Any conviction of a violation of subdivision (c) of
27 Section 192 of the Penal Code, or of Section 2800.2 or 2800.3,
28 subdivision (b) of Section 21651, subdivision (b) of Section
29 22348, subdivision (a) or (c) of Section 23109, or Section 31602
30 of this code, shall be given a value of two points.

31 (2) Any conviction of a violation of subdivision (a) or (b) of
32 Section 23140 shall be given a value of two points.

33 (e) Any conviction of a violation of Section 14601, 14601.1,
34 14601.2, 14601.3, or 14601.5 shall be given a value of two points.

35 (f) Except as provided in subdivision (i), any other traffic
36 conviction involving the safe operation of a motor vehicle upon the
37 highway shall be given a value of one point.

38 (g) Any traffic accident in which the operator is deemed by the
39 department to be responsible shall be given a value of one point.

(h) Any conviction of a violation of Section 27360 or 27360.5 shall be given a value of one point.

(i) (1) A violation of paragraph (1), (2), (3), or (5) of subdivision (b) of Section 40001 shall not result in a violation point count being given to the driver if the driver is not the owner of the vehicle.

(2) Any conviction of a violation of paragraph (1) or (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 12814.6, subdivision (a) of Section 21116, Section 21207.5, 21708, 21710, 21716, 23120, 24800, or 26707 shall not be given a violation point count.

(3) A violation of Section 23136 shall not result in a violation point count.

(j) A conviction for only one violation arising from one occasion of arrest or citation shall be counted in determining the violation point count for the purposes of this section.

SEC. 10. Section 22670 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

22670. (a) For lien sale purposes, the public agency causing the removal of the vehicle shall determine if the estimated value of the vehicle that has been ordered removed, towed, or stored is five hundred dollars (\$500) or less, over five hundred dollars (\$500) but four thousand dollars (\$4,000) or less, or over four thousand dollars (\$4,000).

(b) If the public agency fails or refuses to put a value on, or to estimate the value of, the vehicle within three days after the date of removal of the vehicle, the garage keeper specified in Section 22851 or the garage keeper's agent shall determine, under penalty of perjury, if the estimated value of the vehicle that has been ordered removed, towed, or stored, is five hundred dollars (\$500) or less, over five hundred dollars (\$500) but four thousand dollars (\$4,000) or less, or over four thousand dollars (\$4,000).

SEC. 11. Section 22710 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

22710. (a) A service authority for the abatement of abandoned vehicles may be established, and a one dollar (\$1) vehicle registration fee imposed, in any county if the board of supervisors of the county, by a two-thirds vote, and a majority of the cities having a majority of the incorporated population within the county have adopted resolutions providing for the establishment of the authority and imposition of the fee. The

1 membership of the authority shall be determined by concurrence
2 of the board of supervisors and a majority vote of the majority of
3 the cities within the county having a majority of the incorporated
4 population.

5 (b) The authority may contract and may undertake any act
6 convenient or necessary to carry out any law relating to the
7 authority. The authority shall be staffed by existing personnel of
8 the city, county, or county transportation commission.

9 (c) (1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a service
10 authority may adopt an ordinance establishing procedures for the
11 abatement, removal, and disposal, as a public nuisance, of any
12 abandoned, wrecked, dismantled, or inoperative vehicle or part
13 thereof from private or public property; and for the recovery,
14 pursuant to Section 25845 or 38773.5 of the Government Code, or
15 assumption by the service authority, of costs of administration and
16 that removal and disposal. The actual removal and disposal of a
17 vehicle shall be undertaken by an entity that may be a county or
18 city or the department, pursuant to contract with the service
19 authority as provided in this section.

20 (2) The money received by an authority pursuant to Section
21 9250.7 and this section shall be used only for the abatement,
22 removal, and disposal as a public nuisance of any abandoned,
23 wrecked, dismantled, or inoperative vehicle or part thereof from
24 private or public property.

25 (d) (1) An abandoned vehicle abatement program and plan of
26 a service authority shall be implemented only with the approval of
27 the county and a majority of the cities having a majority of the
28 incorporated population.

29 (2) The department shall provide guidelines for an abandoned
30 vehicle abatement program. An authority's abandoned vehicle
31 abatement plan and program shall be consistent with those
32 guidelines, and shall provide for, but not be limited to, an estimate
33 of the number of abandoned vehicles, a disposal and enforcement
34 strategy including contractual agreements, and appropriate fiscal
35 controls.

36 The department's guidelines provided pursuant to this
37 paragraph shall include, but not be limited to, requiring each
38 service authority receiving funds from the Abandoned Vehicle
39 Trust Fund to report to the Controller on an annual basis pursuant
40 to subdivision (c) of Section 9250.7, in a manner prescribed by the

department, and pursuant to an approved abandoned vehicle abatement program.

(3) After a plan has been approved pursuant to paragraph (1), the service authority shall, not later than August 1 of the year in which the plan was approved, submit it to the department for review, and the department shall, not later than October 1 of that same year, either approve the plan as submitted or make recommendations for revision. After the plan has received the department's approval as being consistent with the department's guidelines, the service authority shall submit it to the Controller.

(4) Except as provided in subdivision (e), the Controller shall make no allocations for a fiscal year, commencing on July 1 following the Controller's determination to suspend a service authority when a service authority has failed to comply with the provisions set forth in Section 9250.7.

(5) No governmental agency shall receive any funds from a service authority for the abatement of abandoned vehicles pursuant to an approved abandoned vehicle abatement program unless the governmental agency has submitted an annual report to the service authority stating the manner in which the funds were expended, and the number of vehicles abated. The governmental agency shall receive that percentage of the total funds collected by the service authority that is equal to its share of the formula calculated pursuant to paragraph (6).

(6) Each service authority shall calculate a formula for apportioning funds to each governmental agency that receives funds from the service authority and submit that formula to the Controller with the annual report required pursuant to paragraph (2). The formula shall apportion 50 percent of the funds received by the service authority to a governmental agency based on the percentage of vehicles abated by that governmental agency of the total number of abandoned vehicles abated by all member agencies, and 50 percent based on population and geographic area, as determined by the service authority. When the formula is first submitted to the Controller, and each time the formula is revised thereafter, the service authority shall include a detailed explanation of how the service authority determined the apportionment between per capita abatements and service area.

(7) Notwithstanding any other provision of this subdivision, the Controller may allocate to the service authority in the County

1 of Humboldt the net amount of the abandoned vehicle abatement
2 funds received from the fee imposed by that authority, as described
3 in subdivision (b) of Section 9250.7, for calendar years 2000 and
4 2001.

5 (e) Any plan that has been submitted to the Controller pursuant
6 to subdivision (d) may be revised pursuant to the procedure
7 prescribed in that subdivision, including compliance with any
8 dates described therein for submission to the department and the
9 Controller, respectively, in the year in which the revisions are
10 proposed by the service authority. Compliance with that procedure
11 shall only be required if the revisions are substantial.

12 (f) For purposes of this section, “abandoned vehicle
13 abatement” means the removal of a vehicle from public or private
14 property by towing or any other means after the vehicle has been
15 marked as abandoned by an official of a governmental agency that
16 is a member of the service authority.

17 (g) A service authority shall cease to exist on the date that all
18 revenues received by the authority pursuant to this section and
19 Section 9250.7 have been expended.

20 SEC. 12. Section 22851.2 of the Vehicle Code is amended to
21 read:

22 22851.2. (a) Excepting a vehicle removed pursuant to
23 Section 22669, if the vehicle is determined to have a value not
24 exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) pursuant to Section 22670,
25 the public agency that removed the vehicle shall do all of the
26 following:

27 (1) Within 48 hours after removal of the vehicle, notify the
28 Stolen Vehicle System of the Department of Justice in Sacramento
29 of the removal.

30 (2) Prepare and give to the lienholder a report that includes all
31 of the following:

32 (A) The value of the vehicle estimated pursuant to Section
33 22670.

34 (B) The identification of the estimator.

35 (C) The location of the vehicle.

36 (D) A description of the vehicle, including the make, year
37 model, identification number, license number, state of
38 registration, and, if a motorcycle, an engine number.

39 (E) The statutory authority for storage.

(b) If the vehicle is in a condition that there is no means of determining ownership, the public agency that removed the vehicle may give authorization to dispose of the vehicle. If authorization for disposal is not issued, a vehicle identification number shall be assigned prior to commencing the lien sale proceedings.

SEC. 13. Section 22851.4 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

22851.4. If the vehicle is determined to have a value exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) pursuant to Section 22670, the lien shall be satisfied pursuant to Sections 3067 to 3074, inclusive, of the Civil Code.

SEC. 14. Section 22851.6 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

22851.6. (a) Lienholders who acquire a vehicle subject to Section 22851.2 shall satisfy their lien pursuant to Sections 22851.8 and 22851.10 if the vehicle has a value not exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500), as determined pursuant to Section 22670.

(b) All forms required by Sections 22851.8 and 22851.10 shall be prescribed by the Department of Motor Vehicles. The language used in the notices and declarations shall be simple and nontechnical.

SEC. 15. Section 22851.8 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

22851.8. (a) The lienholder shall, within 15 working days following the date of possession of the vehicle, make a request to the Department of Motor Vehicles for the names and addresses of all persons having an interest in the vehicle. A storage charge may not accrue beyond the 15-day period unless the lienholder has made a request to the Department of Motor Vehicles as provided for in this section.

(b) By certified mail with return receipt requested or by United States Postal Service Certificate of Mailing, the lienholder shall immediately, upon receipt of this information, send the following prescribed forms and enclosures to the registered owner and legal owner at their addresses of record with the Department of Motor Vehicles, and to any other person known to have an interest in the vehicle:

(1) A completed form entitled "Notice of Intent to Dispose of a Vehicle Valued at \$500 or Less."

1 (2) A blank form entitled “Declaration of Opposition.”

2 (3) A return envelope preaddressed to the lienholder.

3 (c) All notices to persons having an interest in the vehicle shall
4 be signed under penalty of perjury and shall include all of the
5 following:

6 (1) A description of the vehicle, including make, year, model,
7 identification number, license number, and state of registration.
8 For motorcycles, the engine number shall also be included.

9 (2) The names and addresses of the registered and legal owners
10 of the vehicle and any other person known to have an interest in
11 the vehicle.

12 (3) The following statements and information:

13 (A) The amount of the lien.

14 (B) The facts concerning the claim that gives rise to the lien.

15 (C) The person has a right to a hearing in court.

16 (D) If a hearing in court is desired, a Declaration of Opposition
17 form shall be signed under penalty of perjury and returned to the
18 lienholder within 10 days of the date the notice form specified in
19 paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) was mailed.

20 (E) If the Declaration of Opposition form is signed and mailed,
21 the lienholder shall be allowed to dispose of the vehicle only if the
22 lienholder obtains a court judgment or a subsequent release from
23 the declarant or if the declarant cannot be served as described in
24 subdivision (e).

25 (F) If a court action is filed, the declarant shall be notified of the
26 lawsuit at the address shown on the Declaration of Opposition
27 form, and the declarant may appear to contest the claim.

28 (G) The declarant may be liable for court costs if a judgment
29 is entered in favor of the lienholder.

30 (4) A statement that the lienholder may dispose of the vehicle
31 to a licensed dismantler or scrap iron processor if it is not redeemed
32 or if a Declaration of Opposition form is not signed and mailed to
33 the lienholder within 10 days of the date the notice form specified
34 in paragraph (1) of subdivision (b) was mailed.

35 (d) If the lienholder receives a completed Declaration of
36 Opposition form within the time prescribed, the vehicle shall not
37 be disposed of unless the lienholder files an action in court within
38 20 days of the date the notice form specified in paragraph (1) of
39 subdivision (b) was mailed and a judgment is subsequently entered
40 in favor of the lienholder or unless the declarant subsequently

1 releases his or her interest in the vehicle. If a money judgment is
2 entered in favor of the lienholder and the judgment is not paid
3 within five days after becoming final, then the lienholder may
4 dispose of the vehicle through a dismantler or scrap iron processor.

5 (e) (1) Service on the declarant in person or by certified mail,
6 return receipt requested, signed by the addressee at the address
7 shown on the Declaration of Opposition form, shall be effective
8 for the serving of process.

9 (2) If the lienholder has served the declarant by certified mail,
10 return receipt requested, at the address shown on the Declaration
11 of Opposition form and the mail has been returned unclaimed, or
12 if the lienholder has attempted to effect service on the declarant in
13 person with a marshal, sheriff, or licensed process server and the
14 marshal, sheriff, or licensed process server has been unable to
15 effect service on the declarant, the lienholder may proceed with the
16 judicial proceeding or proceed with the lien sale without a judicial
17 proceeding. The lienholder shall notify the Department of Motor
18 Vehicles of the inability to effect service on the declarant and shall
19 provide the Department of Motor Vehicles with a copy of the
20 documents with which service on the declarant was attempted.
21 Upon receipt of the notification of unsuccessful service, the
22 Department of Motor Vehicles shall send authorization of the sale
23 to the lienholder and send notification of the authorization to the
24 declarant. If service is effected on the declarant, the proof of
25 service shall be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles
26 with the documents specified in Section 22851.10.

27 SEC. 16. Section 22851.10 of the Vehicle Code is amended to
28 read:

29 22851.10. (a) A vehicle determined to have a value not
30 exceeding five hundred dollars (\$500) pursuant to Section 22670
31 that was stored pursuant to this chapter, and that remains
32 unclaimed, or for which reasonable towing and storage charges
33 remain unpaid, shall be disposed of only to a licensed dismantler
34 or scrap iron processor not earlier than 15 days after the date the
35 Notice of Intent to Dispose of a Vehicle Valued at \$500 or Less
36 form required pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 22851.8 was
37 mailed, unless a Declaration of Opposition form has been signed
38 and returned to the lienholder.

39 (b) If the vehicle has been disposed of to a licensed dismantler
40 or scrap iron processor, the lienholder shall forward the following

1 forms and information to the licensed dismantler or scrap iron
2 processor within five days:

3 (1) A statement, signed under penalty of perjury, that a properly
4 executed Declaration of Opposition form was not received.

5 (2) A copy of the notice sent to all interested parties.

6 (3) A certification from the public agency that made the
7 determination of value pursuant to Section 22670.

8 (4) The proof of service pursuant to subdivision (e) of Section
9 22851.8 or a copy of the court judgment, if any in favor of the
10 lienholder entered pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 22851.8.

11 (5) The name, address, and telephone number of the licensed
12 dismantler or scrap iron processor who received the vehicle.

13 (6) The amount the lienholder received for the vehicle.

14 (c) A vehicle disposed of pursuant to this section shall not be
15 reconstructed or made operable, unless it is a vehicle that qualifies
16 for either horseless carriage license plates or historical vehicle
17 license plates, pursuant to Section 5004, in which case the vehicle
18 may be reconstructed or made operable.

19 SEC. 17. Section 22852 of the Vehicle Code is amended to
20 read:

21 22852. (a) Whenever an authorized member of a public
22 agency directs the storage of a vehicle, as permitted by this chapter,
23 or upon the storage of a vehicle as permitted under this section
24 (except as provided in subdivision (f) or (g)), the agency or person
25 directing the storage shall provide the vehicle's registered and
26 legal owners of record, or their agents, with the opportunity for a
27 poststorage hearing to determine the validity of the storage.

28 (b) A notice of the storage shall be mailed or personally
29 delivered to the registered and legal owners within 48 hours,
30 excluding weekends and holidays, and shall include all of the
31 following information:

32 (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the agency
33 providing the notice.

34 (2) The location of the place of storage and description of the
35 vehicle, which shall include, if available, the name or make, the
36 manufacturer, the license plate number, and the mileage.

37 (3) The authority and purpose for the removal of the vehicle.

38 (4) A statement that, in order to receive their poststorage
39 hearing, the owners, or their agents, shall request the hearing in

1 person, writing, or by telephone within 10 days of the date
2 appearing on the notice.

3 (c) The poststorage hearing shall be conducted within 48 hours
4 of the request, excluding weekends and holidays. The public
5 agency may authorize its own officer or employee to conduct the
6 hearing if the hearing officer is not the same person who directed
7 the storage of the vehicle.

8 (d) Failure of either the registered or legal owner, or his or her
9 agent, to request or to attend a scheduled hearing shall satisfy the
10 poststorage hearing requirement.

11 (e) The agency employing the person who directed the storage
12 shall be responsible for the costs incurred for towing and storage
13 if it is determined in the poststorage hearing that reasonable
14 grounds for the storage are not established.

15 (f) This section does not apply to vehicles abated under the
16 Abandoned Vehicle Abatement Program pursuant to Sections
17 22660 to 22668, inclusive, and Section 22710, or to vehicles
18 impounded for investigation pursuant to Section 22655, or to
19 vehicles removed from private property pursuant to Section
20 22658.

21 (g) This section does not apply to abandoned vehicles removed
22 pursuant to Section 22669 that are determined by the public
23 agency to have an estimated value of five hundred dollars (\$500)
24 or less.

25 SEC. 18. Notwithstanding Section 17610 of the Government
26 Code, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that this
27 act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local
28 agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant
29 to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title
30 2 of the Government Code. If the statewide cost of the claim for
31 reimbursement does not exceed one million dollars (\$1,000,000),
32 reimbursement shall be made from the State Mandates Claims
33 Fund.